
Using the Loop Test Board

Brett M. Nordgren 19 January, 2014

The board is designed to accept different styles of signal connectors as desired by the builder. Provisions are made for BNC connections, miniature terminal strips and test points suitable for scope probes. The Test Board parts are listed near the bottom of the FBV parts list.

In addition to the Test Board, a cable is needed to connect it to the Electronics Board of the seismometer under test. The cable has identical 5-pin Molex connectors on both ends, pin 1 connected to pin 1, 2 to 2, etc. It both provides power to the test board and connects the test signals to the Electronics Board. **Note!** In the first batch of boards dated 11-30-2011 pins 4 & 5 are reversed. Reverse the wires 4 & 5 on one end of the jumper cable or board damage will occur. Boards Rev. 2.0 requires the straight-through cable. To measure loop performance, the jumper connecting pins 1 and 2 of JP2 of the Electronics Board should be removed and the Test Board connected by means of the cable.

When initially powering up the seismometer, with the oscillator disconnected, it may help to connect the 'Loop in and Loop out' terminals with a jumper lead. Although this shorts the output of the instrumentation amplifier, it will not be damaged. After the seismometer output settles the jumper may be removed.



A sine wave signal source capable of driving $1K\Omega$ at frequencies from 1-100Hz is connected to the Osc pins, and an oscilloscope, meter or, for low frequencies, A/D inputs, are connected to the $Loop\ Out$ and $Loop\ In$ terminals.

The most useful measurements will be made near the gain-crossover frequency, the frequency at which V_{out} and V_{in} become the same. So you might want to start with the oscillator set to around 30 Hz. Gradually increase the signal level until there are good, easily measurable sine waves at *Loop In* and *Loop Out*. If either signal becomes distorted, possibly exhibiting a tall spike at the peak, reduce the oscillator voltage until both sine waves are clean. The test board can handle oscillator signals up to ~3 V peak.

The loop gain at the test frequency is given by V_{out} / V_{in} . And the frequency at which $V_{out} = V_{in}$ will be the gain crossover frequency, which will also be the frequency of the high-frequency corner of the instrument response.


The loop phase may be computed from the values of V_{osc} , V_{out} and V_{in} .

Loop Phase = $\cos^{-1}[(V_{out}^2 + V_{in}^2 - V_{osc}^2)/(2 V_{out} V_{in})]$ - 180 deg. (where \cos^{-1} represents the arccosine function in degrees).


At gain crossover where $V_{out} = V_{in} \equiv V$, the loop phase will be $Cos^{-1}(1-(V_{osc}^2/2V^2))$ -180 degrees, and the phase margin, which is the difference between the loop phase and -180 degrees, is just $Cos^{-1}(1-(V_{osc}^2/2V^2))$. The phase margin should be greater than 40 degrees in order to avoid too large a response peak at the gain crossover frequency and the possibility that the loop might start to oscillate as a result of moderate circuit parameter changes or small mechanical resonances.

For additional information, a log-log plot of Loop Gain vs frequency will tell much about how the loop is performing.

Qty		Description	Order#	Distributor	1 each Price	Total	Qty	Price each	Qty	Price each	Mfr Part #	Manufacturer
1	Circuit Board		CTDP-4941-G Rev 2.0	Seismic	\$15.24	\$15.24	6	\$15.24				Seismic
3	2-screw Terminal		651-1727010	Mouser	\$0.91	\$2.73	10	\$0.84	100	\$0.71	1727010	Phoenix
1	5-pin header		538-22-11-2052	Mouser	\$1.35	\$1.35	10	\$1.17	100	\$0.79	22-11-2052	Molex
6	Test Point		534-5012	Mouser	\$0.41	\$2.46	100	\$0.21	500	\$0.19	5012	Keystone
1	Instrument. Amp.		595-PGA205BP	Mouser	\$15.15	\$15.15	25	\$14.14	100	\$13.13	PGA205BP	TI
1	LM78L05		512-LM78L05ACZ	Mouser	\$0.22	\$0.22	25	\$0.18	100	\$0.165	LM78L05ACZ	Fairchild
1	LM79L05		512-LM79L05ACZ	Mouser	\$0.28	\$0.28	25	\$0.23	100	\$0.168	LM79L05ACZ	Fairchild
4	0.33uF 100V	20%	80-C335C334M1U5HA-TR	Mouser	\$0.50	\$2.00	25	\$0.48	100	\$0.42	C335C334M1U5HA-TR	Kemet
Alternative Signal Connectors												
3	PCBoard BNC	Replaces 2-screw Terminals	523-31-5431-10RFX	Mouser	\$1.60	\$4.80	10	\$1.45	25	\$1.33	31-5431-10RFX	Amphenol
Loop Test Cable Note: Connect pins 4 to 5 & 5 to 4 for 11-30-2011 Board		30-2011 Boards (only)										
2	5 pin housing	w/Ramp & Ribs	538-22-01-3057	Mouser	\$0.31	\$0.62	10	\$0.21	100	\$0.172	22-01-3057	Molex
10	Terminals (10)	Gold / Phos. Bronze	538-08-65-0814	Mouser	\$0.46	\$4.60	100	\$0.39	500	\$0.31	08-65-0814	Molex
	Wire as req.			Total		\$44.65						



Loop Test Board									
B. Nordgren	30 Nov, 2011	Page 1 of 1							



A QUICK, CONVENIENT METHOD FOR MEASURING LOOP GAIN



Fig. 1. By using an hp-AC-21F clip-on probe to couple signal output from hp-Models 302A or 310A Wave Analyzer into feedback loop, loop gains can be measured easily and without breaking loop.

WAVE ANALYZER

(Continued from page 4)




Fig. 8. Passband of new analyzer is shaped using active filter (top) which minimizes shielding problems. Two filters are cascaded (helow) to achieve sharp cut-off. Passband is made maximally flat by placing poles on semicircle in complex frequency plane.

of course, that frequencies of less than a few cycles per second are attenuated. When translated back to the original IF frequency, the overall passband has a notch at 3 Mc, the notch being less than 1 cps wide at the 3 db points. The notch enables a signal to be tuned precisely to band center for precision frequency measurement. The discriminator, on the other hand, locks on to the edge of the notch so that a harmonic component is not attenuated by the notch during amplitude measurement.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Members of the design team for the -hp- 310A were Richard Van Saun, Richard Raven, Richard Osgood, and the undersigned. We are all grateful for the suggestions and ideas of Brunton Bauer, Paul Stoft, Dr. B. M. Oliver, and others.

-Stanley McCarthy

CONVENTIONALLY, measurements of loop gain $A\beta$ are made by opening the feedback loop and then measuring the output obtained in response to a known input. Difficulties arise here, though, because the simulated load impedance must duplicate the impedance presented to the output stage when the loop is closed, and auxiliary bias sources must be added if dc feedback is employed.

New techniques now allow measurement of loop gain with the loop closed, providing rapid, easily-obtained results1. These measurements are made with the -hp- AC-21F current probe for signal injection, and either the -hp- 302A or 310A wave analyzer for signal measurement. The current probe, used inversely to its usual current-sensing function, serves as a coupling transformer for feeding the driving signal into the system, simply by being clipped around a circuit lead. Values of AB over a wide range of frequencies and magnitudes, including $A\beta$ less than unity, are readily obtained. In addition, the phase angle of AB at frequencies near gain crossover is easily determined.

THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Insertion of an isolated voltage source in series with the signal path of a feedback system does not alter the characteristics of the feedback loop, an ideal voltage source having zero series impedance and no shunt conductances to ground. Voltages

B. M. Oliver and C. O. Forge, private communication.




Fig. 2. (left) (a) Diagram of basic feedback amplifier. (b) Alternate representation of (a).

are established, however, which allow $A\beta$ to be determined directly. To understand how $A\beta$ can be measured in this manner, consider the feedback amplifier shown in Fig. 2(a). The amplifier has the normal generator and load impedances connected and the loop is opened at some convenient point (not necessarily in the β circuit). A duplicate of the impedance Z_1 , measured when looking into the system at the break point, is connected to the new output, as shown in Fig. 2(b).

Since E_1 is modified by both A and β when traveling around the loop,

$$E_2 = A\beta E_1$$
 (1)

The voltage source E is simply:

$$E = \frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{Z_1} E_2,$$
 (2)

or,
$$E = \frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{Z_1} A\beta E_1$$
 (3)

Now consider the situation in Fig. 4. Here, the loop is closed and a voltage source is connected in series with it. This represents the normally closed feedback loop since no additional impedances have been introduced. The disturbance created by the presence of the voltage E_g, however, causes voltages E₁ and E₂ to be established by the reaction of the feedback loop.




Fig. 4. Circuit representation when voltage is injected into loop.

The voltage on the output side of the generator is:

$$\mathsf{E}_2 = \mathsf{IZ}_2 + \mathsf{E} \tag{4}$$

The current may be expressed as:

$$I = E_1/Z_1 \tag{5}$$

Substituting equations (5) and (3) for I and E respectively in equation (4) yields:

$$E_2 = \frac{E_1}{Z_1} Z_2 + \frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{Z_1} A\beta E_1$$
 (6)

If $Z_2 << Z_1$ then $E_2 = A\beta E_1$, as in equation (1), even though E_g has been added to the circuit. Thus,

$$A\beta = E_2/E_1 \tag{7}$$

Thus it is seen that simply by introduction of the voltage E_g in series with the loop, two voltages E_1 and E_2 are established which determine $A\beta$ directly.





Fig. 3. -hp- AC-21F clip-on probe, normally used for sampling ac current, is used in loop gain measurements to inject signal from Analyzer into loop.

The voltage source $E_{\rm g}$ may be placed at any point in the loop where the signal is confined to a single path and where $Z_2 << Z_1$. The load and generator impedances normally used with the amplifier should be connected to the normal output and input terminals.

The amplitude of E must be small enough to avoid saturation in any of the active elements and consequently, either E1 or E2 will be quite low. Sensitive wave analyzers, such as the -hp- Models 302A or 310A (see article on page 1), are well-suited to making $A\beta$ measurements involving these small signals. Narrow bandwidths insure a high degree of noise and spurious signal rejection. The signal available from the wave analyzer operating in the BFO mode can be used for E, so that both source and measurement circuits are tuned simultaneously.

The series impedance introduced into the test circuit by the clip-on ac current probe is approximately 0.01 Ω shunted by 1 microhenry, and shunt impedance is only about 2 pf. When driven by the wave analyzer, the voltage produced in the test circuit is about 10 mv, a convenient level.

Fig. 5. Circuit of amplifier on which loop gain was measured using technique described in text.



PRACTICAL EXAMPLE

The loop gain of the amplifier shown in Fig. 5 was measured with this technique, E_g being inserted at point A. At this point, Z_2 was calculated to be no more than 400 Ω and Z_1 was about 10,000 Ω . The requirement that $Z_2 << Z_1$ is satisfied here. The plot of measured loop gain versus frequency is shown in Fig. 6.

To read loop gain directly in db units, E_2 is set to the 0 db level on the analyzer by adjusting the amplitude of E_g . E_1 consequently is measured in negative db units and, when the sign is reversed, these readings represent $A\beta$ in db.

Note that loop gains of less than

unity (below 0 db) are easily measured. In this case, the 0 db reference is set to E_1 and then E_2 represents the value of $A\beta$ in db units.

Measurement of $A\beta$ values less than unity can be useful. For instance, if the circuit is not stable when the loop is closed, resistive attenuation may be introduced somewhere in the loop to avoid oscillations. The relative values of $A\beta$ then are measured and when plotted, the reasons for instability may be determined.

The phase angle of $A\beta$ is readily determined through construction of a vector diagram, as shown in Fig. 7. This is merely a graphical depiction of the relation: $E_2 = E_1 + E_g$.




Fig. 6. Loop gain characteristic measured on amplifier of Fig. 5.




Fig. 7. Phase angle of loop is easily determined by constructing basic diagram.

E₂ and E₁ are measured directly and E₂ is measured by shorting the voltmeter input leads together and clipping the current probe around them. For negative feedback, the phase angle usually is measured from the —180 degree reference.

ALTERNATIVE METHOD

It may not always be possible to find a point where $Z_2 << Z_1$. A similar measurement technique, the dual of the voltage technique, applies when $Z_2 >> Z_1$. The amplifier of Fig. 2 is shown in Fig. 8 with a current source connected from the signal path to ground. As before, the feedback loop is closed but current source I_g causes I_1 and I_2 to be established.

Referring to Fig. 8:

$$E_1 = -I_1 Z_1$$
 (8)

and
$$E_2 = I_2 Z_2 + E$$
 (9)

Substitution of equation (3) gives:

$$E_2 = I_2 Z_2 + \frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{Z_1} A \beta E_1$$
 (10)

Since $E_2 = E_1$, equations (8) and (10) may be combined:

$$-I_1 Z_1 = I_2 Z_2 - \frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{Z_1} A\beta I_1 Z_1$$
(11)

If
$$Z_2 >> Z_1$$
:
Then $A\beta = I_2/I_1$ (12)

A dual to the first method therefore exists, with currents replacing voltages in the determination of loop gain. As in the voltage case, the normal input and output load impedances should be connected. The temporary input and output again may be chosen at any point where the signal is confined to one path. A resistor usually is adequate for converting a voltage generator to a current source (a capacitor may be placed in series with the resistor to block dc). In this case, the resistance should be large with respect to Z₁.

This technique was also used to measure the loop gain of the amplifier shown in Fig. 5. Point B was selected as the current node. Here, Z_2 is the output impedance of an amplifier with local emitter feedback, approximately one megohm, and the input impedance of the following emitter is about 270 Ω which meets the requirement that $Z_2 >> Z_1$.

A current source was simulated by connecting a 10K resistor ($>> Z_1$) in series with the wave analyzer's BFO output. The current probe sensed each current I_2 and I_1 , supplying a proportional voltage to the input of the wave analyzer (termination of the current probe is not required since only relative measurements are being taken). Using this technique, the maximum deviation from the values of $A\beta$ obtained by the voltage source method was only 0.3 db.

Since $I_2 = I_1 + I_g$, a vector diagram may be constructed to find the phase angle of $A\beta$, as was done in the first method.

DC LOOP GAIN

Another technique, primarily useful for obtaining the dc loop gain, is based upon the equation²:

$$Z_{\rm th} = Z_{\rm nf} \frac{1 - A\beta_{\rm sc}}{1 - A\beta_{\rm or}} \qquad (13)$$

where:

 $Z_{\rm nf} = {\rm impedance \ between \ two}$ nodes with A reduced to zero,

2 T. S. Gray, "Applied Electronics," 2d Ed. p. 587.




Fig. 8. Circuit representation when current is injected into loop.

Z_{(b} = impedance observed when normal feedback is present,

 $A\beta_{sc} = loop$ gain with nodes shorted together, and

 $A\beta_{oc}$ = loop gain when no external admittance is connected between the nodes.

At dc, two nodes usually can be found where $A\beta_{se}$ or $A\beta_{oe} = 0$ and where Z_{nf} can be calculated. Then, by connecting a current source between the nodes, and noting the voltage change, Z_{fh} can be calculated from equation (13).

To measure the dc loop gain of the amplifier shown in Fig. 5, a current of 36 µa was injected between point C and ground. A voltage change of 0.4 v at point C was observed. Thus, $Z_{\rm fb}$ is $0.4/36 \times 10^{-6} =$ 11 k. Since the input impedance of the stage connected to this point, and also the output impedance of the previous stage, are very high, Z₁₀ is the same as the collector load resistance (22k). If point C were grounded, $A\beta_{sc}$ would be zero and if it were left ungrounded, ABoo would equal $A\beta$, the normal loop gain. Substituting these values in equation (13) yields:

$$11,000 = 22,000 \frac{1}{1 + A\beta}$$

from which,

$$A\beta = \frac{22,000}{11,000} - 1 = 1$$
-Philip Spohn